

# Reviews in Computational Biology

## 5. Comma Usage



Christophe Dessimoz

April 4th, 2011

**When should one  
use a comma?**

# 1. To separate introductory element from main clause

- First, let me give you a few examples.
- After this course, you will not do any comma mistake.
- Though individual estimates vary, the average remains constant.
- In this review, we focus on what matters.
- But what truly matters is already handled elsewhere.
- Note that there is generally no comma if the subordinate clause is *after* the main clause.

## 2. With a conjunction, to join two independent clauses

- The new method seems better, and it is.
- It gives more accurate results, but requires parameter fitting.
- I ran the program, and the damn thing crashed.
- It is clear that it has a bug and should be fixed.  
(note the implicit “that” in the 2nd relative clause)
- The program output a few lines and died.  
(exceptionally, ok)

# 3. To separate items in a serie (3 or more items)

- A clear, coherent, succinct review
- Life, the universe, and everything

The comma before “and” is sometimes omitted. I add one, because it helps to avoid ambiguities. In any case, be consistent.

- **We eliminated nonbinary trees, trees based on mosaic genes or genes with repeated domains, and trees that show evidence of horizontal gene transfer.**

# 4. To separate *coordinate* adjectives (as alternative to “and”)

- We present a new, better solution...
- .. to this classic biological puzzle.

# 5. To separate a non-essential clause

- This led to a new model, which Darwin called *descent with modification*.
- It was a radical departure from the theory that Lamarck had set forth.
- Endless forms most beautiful and most wonderful have been, and are being, evolved.

# Right or Wrong?

- “The factor is for convenience, the number is too small.”
- “The analysis suggests, that the conjecture holds in all practical cases.”
- “The points, which fall outside 2 standard deviations, were excluded.”
- “The other, somewhat related, problem was already solved last year.”



# (Answers)

- “The factor is for convenience; the number is too small.” (cf. point 2)
- “The analysis suggests/that the conjecture holds in all practical cases.” (cf. point 5)
- “The points/which fall outside 2 standard deviations/were excluded.” (cf. point 5)
- “The other, somewhat related/problem was already solved last year.”

# Right or Wrong?

- “After computing the results are stored in a table.”
- “We have, by now, already discarded the table, we have to recompute it.
- “The optimal configuration, and its likelihood, are printed at each step.”
- “The problem has been in essence already recognized for a long time.”

# (Answers)

- “After computing the results are stored in a table.”
- “We have, by now, already discarded the table; we have to recompute it. (cf. point 2)”
- “The optimal configuration/and its likelihood/are printed at each step.”
- “The problem has been, in essence, already recognized for a long time.” (cf. point 1)